1	STEPHEN F. HENRY, ESQ.	
1	STATE BAR # 142336	
2	2625 Alcatraz Avenue, # 615	
3	Berkeley, California 94705 Telephone: (510) 898-1883	
4	Facsimile (510) 295-2516	
	shenry@SHenrylaw.com	
5	MARK L. MOSLEY (State Bar # 134349)	
6	MOSCONE EMBLIDGE & QUADRA, LLP 220 Montgomery Street. Suite 2100	
7	San Francisco, ČA 94104 Telephone: (415) 592-4342	
8	Facsimile: (415) 362-2006	
	mmosley@meqlaw.com	
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
10		
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
12	FOR THE NORTHER DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
13	MYRICK TANTIADO, an individual,	Case No. C 07-02874 CRB MED
14	Plaintiff,	PLAINTIFF'S OBJECTIONS TO
15	VS.	EVIDENCE SUPPORTING DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR
16		SUMMARY ADJUDICATION
	POWER MEDICAL INTERVENTIONS, a Pennsylvania corporation, and DOES ONE	
17	through FIFTY, inclusive,	
18	Defendants.	
19	Berendams.	Original filing date: April 6, 2007 Removal date: June 1, 2007
20	Plaintiff objects to the following evidence offered by Defendant in support of its Motion	
21	for Partial Summary Judgment:	
22	Declaration of Michael Whitman:	
23	The filing of this Declaration is untimely.	
24	Para. 2, line 9: The witness has not established his knowledge of the facts claimed. FRE 602.	
25	Lacks foundation as to what the minimum requirements of Plaintiff's job were, how those	
26	requirements were established and how those requirements were communicated and therefore	
27	lacks foundation for opinion testimony. FRE 701, 702.	
20		

Objections to Evidence

1		
2	Para. 3, lines 11-12: The witness has not established his knowledge of the facts claimed . FRE	
3	602. Lacks foundation as to what the minimum requirements of Plaintiff's job were, how those	
4	requirements were established and how those requirements were communicated and therefore	
5	lacks foundation for opinion testimony. FRE 701, 702. Lacks foundation as to what "PMI's	
6	practices" were, how those practices were established and how those practices were	
7	communicated and therefore lacks foundation for opinion testimony. FRE 701, 702.	
8	Para. 3: The witness has not established his knowledge of the facts claimed. FRE 602. Lacks	
9	foundation as to who decided to terminate Plaintiff.	
10	Declaration of Rita Esposito:	
11	Para. 2: The witness has not established her knowledge of the facts claimed. FRE 602. Lacks	
12	foundation as to how the Exhibit was received. The underlying Exhibit is hearsay. FRE 802.	
13	The underlying Exhibit is redacted without explanation and is therefore an incomplete exhibit.	
14	Declaration of Patricia Steffan:	
15	Para. 3: The witness has not established her knowledge of the facts claimed. FRE 602. Lacks	
16	foundation as to how sales were calculated and how the declarant determined the total amount of	
17	sales using the data attached as Exhibit B and therefore lacks foundation for opinion testimony.	
18	FRE 701, 702.	
19	Declaration of John Duke:	
20	Para. 4: The Exhibit is incomplete.	
21	Para. 6: Hearsay. FRE 802.	
22	Exhibit B, excerpts of Deposition of Robert Chase, page 50, lines 2-3: The witness has not	
23	established his knowledge of the facts claimed. FRE 602. Lacks foundation for opinion	
24	testimony. FRE 701, 702.	
25	Dated: August 15, 2008	
26		
27	/s/Stephen F. Henry STEPHEN F. HENRY	
28	Attorneys for Plaintiff	